

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/367,052	KISHIMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zachariah Lucas	1648	

All Participants:

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

(2) Mark J. Nuell, Ph.D..

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

13

Prior art documents discussed:

NA


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was indicated that the claims were allowable over the art. However, the Examiner asked if claim 13 could be amended to clarify that the method of claim 13 for producing the recombinant cell could be amended to include the language - - expressing heterologous hCD4 and- - between the words "transformant" and "comprising" in line 6 of the claim. The amendment would be to clarify that the cell recombinantly expresses both the hCD4 and the mCXCR-4. The Applicant agreed to the amendment and indicated that an Examiner's Amendment would be acceptable. .